

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/728,152	!	12/01/2000	Michael H. Gilbert	F-120	4441
	919	7590	08/23/2006		EXAMINER	
	PITNEY B	OWES IN	IC.	CAMPEN, KELLY SCAGGS		
	35 WATER	VIEW DR	IVĖ			
	P.O. BOX 30	000	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	MSC 26-22	2			3624	
	SHELTON	CT 0649	24 8000			

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/728,152	GILBERT, MICHAEL H.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kelly Campen	3624	

	Relly Campen	3024								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress							
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.										
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the fortime periods:										
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	=									
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN										
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fe under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 25 July 2006. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001150							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	i L below),								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the									
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protent the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	explanation of							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .										
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE										
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a							
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER										
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu .	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:										

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly filed claims are different in scope from the previously pending cliams and would require a completely new seasrch by the examiner..

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vineas Welli